

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,754	05/19/2006	Joel Slade	NV2-040US	1611
959 7590 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000			EXAMINER	
			HAVLIN, ROBERT H	
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561.754 SLADE ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit ROBERT HAVLIN 1626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

This application is abandoned in view of:	
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>03 March 2008</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on <u>03 November 2008</u>, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) 	
final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).	r
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the n final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).	on-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three r from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission. 	
), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the N Allowance (PTOL-85).	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). 	
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which after the expiration of the period for reply. 	ıis
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or the applicants. 	all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 Cf 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	R
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 	review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:	
See Continuation Sheet	
/Robert Havlin/ /Rebecca L Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly fil	ed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The examiner contacted Brian Trinque on 5/11/09 who indicated the case was transferred to Josh Robin at 617-449-6535. The examiner attempted to contact Mr. Roth, but was unsuccessful. The papers filed on 11/3/08 are not a response to the final rejection nor are they an appeal brief, but appear to be a preliminary amendment without reference to the prior office action or any indication of an RCE, etc. Thus, applicant has failed to file an appeal brief within the required period and have not filed a continuation.